

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/702,298	10/31/2000	Steven A. Bogen	1159.1004-005	3668	
	7590 09/29/2004	Ŧ.	EXAMINER		
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C. 530 VIRGINIA ROAD			ALEXANDER, LYLE		
P.O. BOX 913	3		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CONCORD, 1	MA 01742-9133		1743	1743	
			DATE MAILED: 09/29/2004	ĺ	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	L		
		09/702,298	BOGEN ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Lyle A Alexander	1743			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addre	ss		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION INSIDE THE PROVISION OF THIS COMMUNICATION INSIDE THE PROVISION OF THE PRO	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thin iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON titute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this commission BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	July 2004.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) 🗌) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 3-18 is/are pending in the applicati	on.				
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 3-18 is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	,			
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyan	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr		` ' '	` '		
11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-	152.		
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	pplication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•	received in this National Sta	ge		
* 0	application from the International Bure					
" \$	See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified copies not	received.			
A44a-1-	Ma)					
Attachmen 1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview 9	ummary (PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Ir 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)		
		-, <u>-</u>				

Application/Control Number: 09/702,298

Art Unit: 1743

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 11-18 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-23 of U.S. Patent No. 6,673,620. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both are directed methods of applying staining reagents to slide and subsequent aspiration of the fluids.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 3-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Bogen (USP 5,073,504) or Bogen (USP 4,847,208).

These references teach slide chambers(4) that have been read on the claimed "slide housing". Column 5 lines 54-66 of ('504) and column 5 lines 14-26 of ('208) teaches a first valve which that controls the flow of reagents/buffers into the chamber

Application/Control Number: 09/702,298

Art Unit: 1743

and a second suction valve that removes the fluids. These have been read on the claimed "liquid dispenser" and "liquid aspirator" respectively.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's 7/9/04 arguments were not convincing.

Applicant's state the obviousness type double patenting rejection is improper because the '620 patent has a later filing date and does not teach dropping the reagent into the cavity, but rather draws reagent into the cavity by vacuum.

The double patenting rejection is applied to the pending claim against the claims that have already issued to prevent the improper extension of Applicant's monopoly on the subject matter. The Office maintains this rejection is proper.

Claim 11 specifies the dispensing the liquid through an orifice which has been properly read on the '620 patent.

Applicant's remarks concerning Bogen '693 were convincing and the rejection has been vacated.

Applicant's states the remainder of the references have not been properly applied because they fail to teach movement of the fluid dispenser. These remarks are not commensurate in scope with the claims, which only require relative movement between the dispenser and the slide. The cited prior art clearly teaches movement of the slides to be positioned under the dispenser.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/702,298

Art Unit: 1743

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lyle A Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1254. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743

